

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Friday, February 22, 2008 2:07 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Phone call info

Hi [REDACTED] – Can you e-mail or fax me the dates of the calls? I can add them to the indictment today. I think Karen is going to try to finish her review over the weekend.

Thanks.

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
500 S. Australian Ave, Suite 400
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
Phone [REDACTED]
Fax [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Friday, February 22, 2008 12:07 PM
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Subject: Got the FedEx records

[REDACTED] last name is [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] and her phone number is [REDACTED] (this may be an office number, not a cell phone number). They also show another corporate name: "[REDACTED]"

They show a phone number for Epstein and Eric Gany as [REDACTED]

They have an e-mail address for [REDACTED] as: [REDACTED] and show her phone number as [REDACTED]

The notes show that the [REDACTED] credit card was declined and then they show [REDACTED] at [REDACTED], with company name "[REDACTED]"

They want us to be more specific about individual shipment records, so we will have to comb through what they gave us to identify specific shipments.

I am running to lunch but will be back this afternoon.

Thanks.

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
500 S. Australian Ave, Suite 400
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
Phone [REDACTED]
Fax [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2008 2:03 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: Response to questions

[REDACTED]
Hope you had a great weekend.

Well it has not been easy and I dont know if you can make any sense of it.

Facts: [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] had contact 9 times between (7/15/2004 - 10/26/2004)
[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] had contact 1 time (10/26/2004) This appears to be [REDACTED] father's phone. We believe we have [REDACTED] cellphone at the time and no calls with [REDACTED]

Testimony:

[REDACTED] ([REDACTED] brought) stated Spring of her Junior year. (Estimated to be Spring 2004)

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] stated sophomore year -(this time line does not fit at all) [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] both estimated to be Sophomores 02-03

[REDACTED] stated she went between January and May of Senior year which is believed to be Jan - May 2005. She turned 18 in Feb 2005. I do not know if she was being truthful about the time of the year or if she was more concerned about when she turned 18.

SOooooowho knows....

[REDACTED] Born in [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] graduated from [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] graduated from [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2008 8:55 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: Re: I am back with more questions

[REDACTED] I just recvd about 5 emails from you. I do not have my books here. I will look at things first thing tues and answer whatever we did not already talk about. We did hear back from amazon and they would like cc info, Jason will work with them next week. Sorry I did not get your emails sooner.

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>
To: [REDACTED]
Sent: Fri Feb 15 12:56:16 2008
Subject: RE: I am back with more questions

Hi [REDACTED] -- Just one more question, I hope. Where did [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] go to school? They both say they went to the same school, but one says [REDACTED] and the other says [REDACTED]. And do you know what year(s) they graduated?

Thanks.

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2008 10:36 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: Re: I am back with more questions

Only pbpd spoke with [REDACTED]. (She was 18 @ the time) but we will interview her and I think she will be cooperative. We dont have time frame for [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] went betwn 10/03-05/04. [REDACTED] last visit.

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Sent: Fri Feb 15 10:10:14 2008
Subject: RE: I am back with more questions

Did you ever talk to [REDACTED].?

Do we have any time frame, messages, or phone calls for [REDACTED].?

Thanks.

1626

08-80736-CV-MARRA

P-014585

EFTA00224570

[REDACTED]

Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2008 4:50 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: High Schools

Thank you so much! Have a good weekend. I am headed out now, but will work on this at home.

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2008 4:48 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: High Schools

[REDACTED] (taken by [REDACTED])
[REDACTED] (taken by [REDACTED])
[REDACTED] C. [REDACTED] (went with [REDACTED])
[REDACTED] (10th) & [REDACTED] (11th) - [REDACTED] believes she may have starting going her 10th
grade year while attending [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was taken by [REDACTED] her 11th grade year (both girls born in 87) and [REDACTED].
stated that both [REDACTED] and herself were attending [REDACTED] (went with [REDACTED])
[REDACTED] (taken by [REDACTED])
[REDACTED] (taken by [REDACTED])

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2008 1:38 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Timeline

Hi [REDACTED] – I read [REDACTED] statement. She says she first went with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] between January and May of her senior year.

She says on the second visit she went with [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED]. This is weird because [REDACTED] says she went with [REDACTED].

She says on the third visit, she went with [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED].

Assuming [REDACTED] is correct about the order of visits and that [REDACTED] was with them on that trip, we are looking around July 2004 (because [REDACTED] called [REDACTED] in July 2004).

Is it safe to say that these events took place in or around the first half of 2004?

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2008 1:08 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Do we have a timeframe or any numbers or calls for [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]. [REDACTED].?

Thanks.

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2008 11:48 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: Re: I am back with more questions

[REDACTED] only 1 message no date possible 05/05

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>
To: [REDACTED]
Sent: Fri Feb 15 11:06:06 2008
Subject: RE: I am back with more questions

What is [REDACTED] phone number? Thanks.

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2008 10:36 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: Re: I am back with more questions

Only pbpd spoke with [REDACTED]. (She was 18 @ the time) but we will interview her and I think she will be cooperative. We dont have time frame for [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] went betwn 10/03-05/04. [REDACTED] last visit.

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Sent: Fri Feb 15 10:10:14 2008
Subject: RE: I am back with more questions

Did you ever talk to [REDACTED].?

Do we have any time frame, messages, or phone calls for [REDACTED].?

Thanks.

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2008 11:20 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: I am back with more questions

I thought I had a complete set of the message pads here, but I only have the copies of the messages that have identified girls' names. Can you look through the message pads and tell me what the ones from [REDACTED] say?

Thank you (so sorry)

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2008 10:36 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: Re: I am back with more questions

Only pbpd spoke with [REDACTED]. (She was 18 @ the time) but we will interview her and I think she will be cooperative. We dont have time frame for [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] went betwn 10/03-05/04. [REDACTED] last visit.

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Sent: Fri Feb 15 10:10:14 2008
Subject: RE: I am back with more questions

Did you ever talk to [REDACTED].?

Do we have any time frame, messages, or phone calls for [REDACTED].?

Thanks.

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2008 10:31 AM
To: [REDACTED]

Hi [REDACTED] – Didn't you tell me that [REDACTED] estimated that she brought 100 girls? It isn't in the 302. And did she explain what happened during that first massage with Epstein?

Thanks.

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2008 10:10 AM
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: I am back with more questions

Did you ever talk to [REDACTED]?

Do we have any time frame, messages, or phone calls for [REDACTED]?

Thanks.

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 5:29 PM
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Subject: Draft Overt Acts

Hi guys – Here is the most recent draft. I have only looked at the overt acts sections for the girls we are keeping. Can you go through those and check the facts? Also, can you figure out which trips we can drop from the overt acts? I think I only have 2 phone calls from [REDACTED], so if there are some calls I can add, please point those out, too.

I will turn to the new girls tomorrow.

Thanks.



080214 revised
indictment.pdf

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 3:13 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: List of names

I am having a really hard time e-mailing you guys. My e-mails are getting bounced back so I have to re-send numerous times until it goes through. Do you know if there is something wrong with the FBI's server?

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----
From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 3:11 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: List of names

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 3:10 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: Re: List of names

[REDACTED] ??? NYC or [REDACTED] d.

----- Original Message -----
From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Sent: Thu Feb 14 14:57:31 2008
Subject: RE: List of names

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 1:43 PM
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Subject: List of names

Here are the names of people I am intending to keep in the indictment:

[REDACTED]

Not all will be in substantive counts, but they will be mentioned in the overt acts.

[REDACTED]

Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 2:39 PM
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Subject: I know you hate it when I do this, but . . .

I always seem to notice something new when I go through these records. In Janusz's notes, he shows a payment to Golden Cab on 6/17/05. Can you call and see if they have any records or any trips to El Brillo Way? Or a Dennis working there? And that long string of unknown numbers on Sarah's cell phone. Could those be a group of lines for Yellow Cab?

Thanks.

I am almost finished with the changes to the girls we are keeping. I will send that to you and then when I finish the new girls, I will send that portion.

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 1:35 PM
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: DOBs

Hi guys – sorry to bother you. On some of the new girls I don't have dobs.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Have you guys ever talked to [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]? Should I include them?

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 1:21 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Epstein Indictment

Hi Jason – I didn't send the indictment yet. I was just asking for input on who to include and who to exclude.

How old was [REDACTED] when she went with [REDACTED]?

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 1:00 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: RE: Epstein Indictment

Hey [REDACTED],

There was no indictment attached to your email. Can you send it again.

In addition to the two calls from [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] on her cell phone 4/23/04 at 1:35 pm and 5/2/04 at 10:32 am, we have two telephone calls from [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] ([REDACTED] boyfriend) telephone on 03/04/2004 at 9:46 am and 2:30 pm.

Message pads reflect 10 messages from [REDACTED] beginning 03/11/2003 ending 03/01/2006. Individuals identified as taking the messages are Evelyne, Michael, Louella, and Alfredo Rodriguez.

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 11:41 AM
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Epstein Indictment

FYI – Tell me what you think. Also, can you pull the messages from [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and see if you can tell what the dates are and who took the messages? Thanks.
And am I correct that we have only two phone calls with [REDACTED]? 4/23/04 and 5/2/04

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 11:22 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Epstein Indictment

1678

08-80736-CV-MARRA

P-014608

EFTA00224584

Hi [REDACTED] – Our server was down for a few hours this morning, so I am very behind on my revisions. I wanted to talk about which girls we should drop.

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] are the girls who have filed lawsuits. I have excluded them.

With respect to the other girls brought by [REDACTED], here are my thoughts. We can drop [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] very easily. Both only gave 1 or 2 massages and did not disclose their ages. [REDACTED] is a good witness because she shows that, if you aren't willing to do more sexual activity, Epstein stops the massage and doesn't want to see you anymore. She seems like someone whom we could get in as 404(b) because the probative nature outweighs its prejudice.

I think we should drop [REDACTED] because I don't believe she will ever be completely truthful about the amount of sexual activity that occurred. (She is the girl that one of the witnesses described as "a virgin on graduation day.")

That only leaves [REDACTED], the soccer player who cried for the entire interview. I think that she may be worth keeping. We have such good documentary evidence related to her – message pads, car rental records, 156 calls with [REDACTED], and 2 calls with [REDACTED] (we have very few phone calls with [REDACTED], so this is key).

What do you think?

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 1:00 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: RE: Epstein Indictment

Hey [REDACTED],

There was no indictment attached to your email. Can you send it again.

In addition to the two calls from [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] on her cell phone 4/23/04 at 1:35 pm and 5/2/04 at 10:32 am, we have two telephone calls from [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] ([REDACTED] boyfriend) telephone on 03/04/2004 at 9:46 am and 2:30 pm.

Message pads reflect 10 messages from [REDACTED] beginning 03/11/2003 ending 03/01/2006. Individuals identified as taking the messages are [REDACTED], Michael, Louella, and Alfredo Rodriguez.

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 11:41 AM
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Epstein Indictment

FYI – Tell me what you think. Also, can you pull the messages from [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and see if you can tell what the dates are and who took the messages? Thanks.

And am I correct that we have only two phone calls with [REDACTED]? 4/23/04 and 5/2/04

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 11:22 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Epstein Indictment

Hi [REDACTED] – Our server was down for a few hours this morning, so I am very behind on my revisions. I wanted to talk about which girls we should drop.

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] are the girls who have filed lawsuits. I have excluded them.

With respect to the other girls brought by [REDACTED], here are my thoughts. We can drop [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] very easily. Both only gave 1 or 2 massages and did not disclose their ages. [REDACTED] is a good witness because she shows that, if you aren't willing to do more sexual activity, Epstein stops the massage and doesn't want to see you anymore. She seems like someone whom we could get in as 404(b) because the probative nature outweighs its prejudice.

I think we should drop [REDACTED] because I don't believe she will ever be completely truthful about the amount of sexual activity that occurred. (She is the girl that one of the witnesses described as "a virgin on graduation day.")

That only leaves [REDACTED], the soccer player who cried for the entire interview. I think that she may be worth keeping. We have such good documentary evidence related to her – message pads, car rental records, 156 calls

with [REDACTED], and 2 calls with [REDACTED] (we have very few phone calls with [REDACTED], so this is key).

What do you think?

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 12:37 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: RE: Epstein Indictment

I'm not supposed to be involved in any substantive decisions until I get word from "on high". However, my general thoughts are that you are correct. [REDACTED] has some of the best evidence and will be a good witness, although a reluctant one. Am I correct to assume that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] A. are still in? Their past history of commitment will have to be dealt with and it won't be easy, but I agree that they should be left in. The fact that their commitments were post-Epstein is good, but his attorneys are going to try to destroy them. Is [REDACTED] in as well? I thought that she was truthful. What about [REDACTED]? [REDACTED]?

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 11:22 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Epstein Indictment

Hi [REDACTED] – Our server was down for a few hours this morning, so I am very behind on my revisions. I wanted to talk about which girls we should drop.

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] are the girls who have filed lawsuits. I have excluded them.

With respect to the other girls brought by [REDACTED], here are my thoughts. We can drop [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] very easily. Both only gave 1 or 2 massages and did not disclose their ages. [REDACTED] is a good witness because she shows that, if you aren't willing to do more sexual activity, Epstein stops the massage and doesn't want to see you anymore. She seems like someone whom we could get in as 404(b) because the probative nature outweighs its prejudice.

I think we should drop [REDACTED] because I don't believe she will ever be completely truthful about the amount of sexual activity that occurred. (She is the girl that one of the witnesses described as "a virgin on graduation day.")

That only leaves [REDACTED], the soccer player who cried for the entire interview. I think that she may be worth keeping. We have such good documentary evidence related to her – message pads, car rental records, 156 calls with [REDACTED], and 2 calls with [REDACTED] (we have very few phone calls with [REDACTED], so this is key).

What do you think?

[REDACTED]

Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 11:41 AM
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Epstein Indictment

FYI – Tell me what you think. Also, can you pull the messages from [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and see if you can tell what the dates are and who took the messages? Thanks.

And am I correct that we have only two phone calls with [REDACTED]? 4/23/04 and 5/2/04

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 11:22 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Epstein Indictment

Hi [REDACTED] – Our server was down for a few hours this morning, so I am very behind on my revisions. I wanted to talk about which girls we should drop.

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] are the girls who have filed lawsuits. I have excluded them.

With respect to the other girls brought by [REDACTED], here are my thoughts. We can drop [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] very easily. Both only gave 1 or 2 massages and did not disclose their ages. [REDACTED] is a good witness because she shows that, if you aren't willing to do more sexual activity, Epstein stops the massage and doesn't want to see you anymore. She seems like someone whom we could get in as 404(b) because the probative nature outweighs its prejudice.

I think we should drop [REDACTED], because I don't believe she will ever be completely truthful about the amount of sexual activity that occurred. (She is the girl that one of the witnesses described as "a virgin on graduation day.")

That only leaves [REDACTED], the soccer player who cried for the entire interview. I think that she may be worth keeping. We have such good documentary evidence related to her – message pads, car rental records, 156 calls with [REDACTED], and 2 calls with [REDACTED] (we have very few phone calls with [REDACTED], so this is key).

What do you think?

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2008 3:19 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Telephone charts and [REDACTED] phone records

Hi [REDACTED] – I am trying to get this indictment package finalized. Can you e-mail to me the final telephone charts for all of the girls and [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED]? Also, do you have all of [REDACTED] records electronically? Maybe we can search for phone numbers for some of the new girls, even though we don't have their phone records yet.

Also, can you check on the lead to New York? Ideally, I would like to turn the package in on Thursday, so I need to know if we can include any of those girls.

When you have a chance, please give me a call. I am in the U.S. Attorney's Office – [REDACTED].

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]